

Sovereign AI Compute — Australia

Company one-pager · June 2026 · for prospective cofounder & early investors

CONFIDENTIAL DRAFT

Sovereign AI requires firmed, in-region power and heat rejection.

Not silicon — silicon is buyable. We're standing up an Australian-owned sovereign compute company that owns **both sides**: the **supply** — **Swanbank** (1.2 GW grid, on-site firming, a non-potable cooling lake, 336 ha brownfield) — and the **demand** — a single sovereign gateway that aggregates every tenant's AI traffic into wholesale buying power over the frontier labs.

1.2 GW

grid connection on site

336 ha

brownfield, zoned

385 MW

firm gas + 500 MWh battery

0 L

potable water per token

THE PROBLEM

- **Sovereign AI can't burst offshore.** AU-only data residency means you size for peak *and* for node-failure **in-region** — no overseas relief valve.
- The real bottleneck isn't GPUs (buyable) — it's **firmed power + grid headroom + land + a heat sink, under one cooperative owner.**
- Those four rarely co-exist in Australia. Where they don't, sovereign compute is slow, expensive, or impossible.

WHAT WE BUILD

- **Behind-the-meter GPU pool** on firmed precinct power — not the open grid.
- **Two serving tiers:** low-latency (chat, <800 ms voice/vision) + batched throughput (embeddings, batch, consensus).
- **Closed-loop liquid cooling** to the dam — direct-to-chip, recirculated, near-zero water draw.
- **AU-residency by construction** — every watt and byte stays in Queensland.

THE INSIGHT — THE LAW HAS ONE ANSWER

- Retired coal-power sites already satisfy both halves of the law: **firmed power** (gigawatt grid + on-site generation) *and* **heat rejection** (a purpose-built cooling water body).
- **Swanbank** (Ipswich, QLD) is the strongest near-term candidate — 11 km from Brisbane's demand centre, landlord courting tenants now.
- The power station's waste-heat cooling lake becomes our **closed-loop thermal reservoir** — low-water, no creek discharge, non-potable since 2007.

WHY WE WIN (MOAT)

- **Demand aggregation** — every tenant's AI traffic funnels through *one* sovereign gateway. Aggregated volume = buying power we turn on the frontier labs. Compounds: more tenants → more leverage → better rates → more tenants.
- **Site control** — the scarce asset. Firmed power + heat sink + land + landlord, co-located.
- **Software already exists** — an OpenAI-compatible sovereign gateway with capability routing, vendor failover, AU-residency guard & the `SovereignBackstop` seam the substrate plugs into.
- **Sovereignty story that sells** — AU-owned power + land + compute + data, end-to-end. Built for defence, government, critical-minerals.

THE SCALE RUNWAY — START SMALL, GROW 50×

Stage	IT power	Indicative GPUs	Heat to reject	% of grid envelope
Pilot hall	~2 MW	~2,000	~2 MW	<1%
Phase 1	~20 MW	~20,000	~20 MW	~2%
Build-out	~100 MW	~100,000	~100 MW	~8%
Full envelope	up to 1.2 GW	—	—	100%

- **The site isn't the limit — capital and silicon are.** A 100 MW build-out (a top-tier AI campus globally) uses under 10% of the connection. Same site scales two orders of magnitude.

COMMERCIAL TERMS BY COMPONENT

Component	Structure	Indicative cost	Notes
Land	99 ha land lease	\$ __ /ha/yr · __ yr term	Leased parcel within the 336 ha precinct
Grid connection	Powerlink Connection & Access Agreement	~\$2.5m / yr	Connection & access only (TUOS-style); N-1 firm. Energy commodity is separate (below).
Energy	Firmed supply (behind-the-meter: Swanbank E gas + 500 MWh battery)	~\$65 / MWh [†]	QLD wholesale avg, AEMO Q1 2026 (–27% YoY). Behind-the-meter PPA targets <i>below</i> grid (no network/retail margin); commodity is on top of the connection charge.
Electrical reticulation	275 kV incomer → 33 kV site HV → 240 V LV + rectifiers	capex TBC	275 kV = QLD network incomer substation; rectifiers feed DC GPU halls
Cooling	Closed-loop liquid cooling to the Cooling Water Dam	capex TBC	Non-potable recirculating loop; access terms + PFAS containment with CleanCo

[†]Energy benchmark = QLD wholesale volume-weighted average \$65/MWh (AEMO Quarterly Energy Dynamics, Q1 2026). Used as a public reference price; the actual behind-the-meter firmed PPA with CleanCo is negotiated and targets below grid. Recurring committed (land lease + ~\$2.5m/yr Powerlink C&A) are the contracted floor; reticulation & cooling capex resolve through the CleanCo / Powerlink term sheets. Figures indicative, pre-final-terms.

UNIT ECONOMICS — WHY THE SPREAD IS THE BUSINESS

Per GPU (H100-class)	Figure	Basis
Power draw	~0.7 kW	700 W/GPU at the chip
Energy cost / GPU-hr	~\$0.05–0.07	at ~\$65/MWh (≈1.4× for cooling/PUE overhead)
Rental revenue / GPU-hr	~\$2.00–3.30	1-yr contract ~\$2.35; on-demand avg ~\$3.31 (2026 market)
Gross spread / GPU-hr	~\$2.00–3.20	energy is <3% of the rental price — the moat is firmed cheap power + uptime

- **Energy is a rounding error against revenue.** A GPU that rents for ~\$2.35/hr costs ~\$0.05/hr to power. The constraint was never the electricity bill — it's *securing firmed capacity at all, in-region*. Swanbank gives us that.
- **GPUs are sold out.** 1-yr H100 rental contracts rose ~40% (Oct 2025 → Mar 2026) on sold-out capacity — a structural demand tailwind for new firmed supply.

THE GATEWAY FLYWHEEL — OWN THE DEMAND, NOT JUST THE SUPPLY

- **One gateway, all the demand.** Every tenant's AI traffic routes through a single OpenAI-compatible sovereign gateway (`api.tailor.au/v1`). That concentrates fragmented per-tenant spend into **one aggregated buy**.
- **Aggregated volume = leverage on the frontier labs.** We negotiate wholesale / committed-capacity rates from OpenAI · Anthropic · Google that *no single tenant could ever get* — then resell at retail per-token. The spread is ours.
- **The substrate is the floor; lab leverage is the ceiling.** The gateway arbitrages every request across **negotiated frontier-lab capacity** and our **own Swanbank sovereign pool** — cheapest/best-fit, AU-residency-aware. Owning supply caps what the labs can charge us; aggregated demand caps what they charge everyone.
- **It compounds.** More tenants → more volume → better wholesale rates → sharper retail price → more tenants. A demand-side flywheel competitors without the gateway can't spin.

STATUS & WHAT'S DE-RISKED

- Sovereign software switch built & running (gateway, routing, failover, residency guard).
- Site identified, facts grounded; thesis & deal model written.
- Open: CleanCo commercial terms, power \$/MWh, dam thermal headroom, connection timeline.

WHO WE NEED (THIS HIRE)

- **Infrastructure operator/cofounder** — DC build + power commercials + GPU ops at scale.
- Someone who has **energised a site**: PPAs, behind-the-meter deals, liquid cooling, hyperscale build.
- Pairs with existing **sovereign-AI software** + product + customer access.

WHY NOT THE INCUMBENTS

- **vs. hyperscalers (AWS/Azure AU-region)** — "AU region" is a data-centre location, not sovereignty: foreign-owned, foreign-controlled, subject to offshore jurisdiction. We are AU-owned power + land + compute + data, end-to-end.
- **vs. colocation (NextDC et al.)** — they sell racks and let; they don't own firm generation, don't aggregate AI demand, and don't run a sovereign routing layer. We own the power and the demand, not just the floor space.
- **vs. GPU-cloud resellers** — they arbitrage someone else's capacity with no substrate and no power edge. Our owned site caps our input cost; our gateway caps everyone's.
- **The gap we fill** — nobody in AU pairs a firm-generation sovereign site *with* a demand-aggregating gateway. That combination is the company.

RISK REGISTER — AND HOW WE DE-RISK IT

Risk	Mitigation
GPU price decay — rental rates fall as supply catches up	Payback ~2.4 yr is inside the depreciation window; sensitivity tab models down to \$1.80/hr; demand-aggregation margin is price-agnostic.
Utilisation risk — empty GPUs earn nothing	The gateway routes our own tenant demand first — we fill capacity from owned demand, not a cold sales pipeline.
Single-site / single-landlord concentration	CleanCo is QLD-govt-owned (stable counterparty); N-1 connection; build-out is staged, capital follows proven demand.

Risk	Mitigation
PFAS / environmental at a legacy coal site	Closed-loop cooling consumes & discharges nothing; contained by design; named up front with CleanCo's environmental team.
Capex intensity — GPUs are ~69% of the raise	GPUs are a resaleable, financeable asset (not sunk); vendor-financing / lease cuts the equity cheque.
Connection / timeline slippage	Pilot sized to spare, already-energised capacity; full envelope phased behind the Clean Energy Hub study, not gating day one.
Frontier-lab dependency (gateway resale)	Owned sovereign substrate is the floor — if lab terms worsen, traffic routes to our own pool. Two supply sources, one gateway.

The ask: Join to co-found / back the company that puts Australia's sovereign AI substrate on Australian ground. **Near-term:** mandate + capital to close CleanCo terms and stand up a ~2 MW pilot hall — with a defined path into the 1.2 GW envelope. **Why now:** the coal site is mid- redevelopment and the landlord is courting tenants *today*; sovereign-AI demand is structural and AU-bound. First mover on the site wins the runway.

Sources: CleanCo Queensland (Swanbank Energy Precinct & Cooling Water Dam / RDMW); RenewEconomy; QLD Ministerial Statements; Global Energy Monitor; AI-rack power-density & closed-loop DC-cooling literature (SemiAnalysis, nVent, DataBank, NLR, Vantage); AEMO Quarterly Energy Dynamics Q1 2026 (QLD wholesale price); GPU rental benchmarks (Spheron, SemiAnalysis, getdeploying, 2026). Site facts current June 2026; commercial terms, land lease rate, dam thermal headroom & PFAS containment unconfirmed. Load, price & unit-economics figures directional, not a bill of materials.